

On Integrable Ermakov-Painlevé IV Systems

Colin Rogers¹ Andrew P. Bassom² and Peter A. Clarkson³

¹ School of Mathematics & Statistics, The University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW2052, Australia
c.rogers@unsw.edu.au

² School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania,
Private Bag 37, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
andrew.bassom@utas.edu.au

³ School of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science,
University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF, UK
P.A.Clarkson@kent.ac.uk

March 8, 2017

Abstract

Novel hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems are introduced and an associated Ermakov invariant is used in establishing their integrability. Bäcklund transformations are then employed to generate classes of exact solutions via the linked canonical Painlevé IV equation.

1 Introduction

The six classical Painlevé equations, commonly denoted by P_I – P_{VI} , arise in a wide range of physical applications and have played a fundamental role in modern soliton theory. Detailed accounts of their properties may be found in [13, 14, 19, 37] together with the literature cited therein. In particular, the fourth Painlevé equation (P_{IV}) which is connected to the new integrable systems to be introduced here adopts the canonical form

$$\frac{d^2\omega}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left(\frac{d\omega}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\omega^3 + 4z\omega^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)\omega + \frac{\beta}{\omega} \quad (1.1)$$

where α and β are arbitrary parameters. This nonlinear integrable equation has been shown to have important applications such as hydrodynamics [5], nonlinear optics [23], quantum gravity theory [25, 26], supersymmetric quantum mechanics [7–10, 22, 44, 47] random matrices [28, 29, 40, 56, 72], orthogonal polynomials [11, 12, 17, 18, 21], exceptional orthogonal polynomials [30–32, 45, 46] and vortex dynamics [15]. Associated with P_{IV} (1.1) is the second-degree, second-order σ -equation, usually known as S_{IV} (or the P_{IV} σ -equation)

$$\left(\frac{d^2\sigma}{dz^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(z \frac{d\sigma}{dz} - \sigma \right)^2 + 4 \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dz} + 2\vartheta_0 \right) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dz} + 2\vartheta_\infty \right) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

where ϑ_0 and ϑ_∞ are arbitrary parameters, due to Jimbo and Miwa [39] and Okamoto [52–54], whose solutions are equivalent to those of P_{IV} (2.5).

Nonlinear coupled systems of Ermakov-Ray-Reid type, on the other hand, namely (see e.g. [57, 58, 62, 65, 71])

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dz^2} + \Theta(z)\phi = \frac{1}{\phi^2\psi} \Phi(\psi/\phi) \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \Theta(z)\psi = \frac{1}{\psi^2\phi} \Psi(\phi/\psi) \quad (1.3b)$$

with their distinctive integral of motion

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi \frac{d\psi}{dz} - \psi \frac{d\phi}{dz} \right)^2 + \int^{u=\psi/\phi} \Phi(u) du + \int^{v=\phi/\psi} \Psi(v) dv$$

likewise display a diverse range of physical applications as described in [33, 61, 63, 64, 66, 67, 70].

It is well known that solitonic systems generically admit invariance under Bäcklund transformations which have associated nonlinear superposition principles (permutability theorems). Bäcklund transformations generically admitted by the Painlevé equations such as set down in [36] enable the iterative generation of sequences of exact solutions of associated overarching solitonic equations. Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems likewise possess nonlinear superposition laws, albeit of another kind [57, 58]. Moreover, just as solitonic systems and their associated Painlevé symmetry reductions admit linear representations, so Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems have also been shown to possess underlying linear structure in [3]. Despite these remarkable commonalities, the studies of Painlevé and Ermakov-type systems have preceded independently until recently. Thus, developments in [59, 60] have shown how prototype hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé II and Ermakov-Painlevé IV-type equations arise via wave packet symmetry reductions of classes of resonant nonlinear Schrödinger systems. The latter involve de Broglie-Bohm quantum potential terms and under certain circumstances they admit novel fission or fusion phenomena [41, 50, 51].

Dirichlet two-point boundary value problems for both the Ermakov-Painlevé II and Ermakov-Painlevé IV equations have been recently investigated in [1, 2]. In [1], the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé II equation was linked to its integrable Painlevé XXXIV avatar in the context of a three-ion reduction of the classical Nernst-Planck system as derived in [20]. On setting $\Omega = \omega^{1/2}$, with $\omega > 0$, in P_{IV} (1.1), one obtains the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV type equation

$$\frac{d^2\Omega}{dz^2} = \left[\frac{3}{4}\Omega^4 + 2z\Omega^2 + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Omega + \frac{\beta}{2\Omega^3} \quad (1.4)$$

which encapsulates positive solutions of P_{IV} (1.1). It may be derived, in particular, via symmetry reduction of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations of a type that arise in plasma physics, cf. [16]. The Ermakov invariant admitted by coupled pairs of nonlinear equations of the type (1.4) has been shown in [1] to allow their integration in terms of P_{IV} (1.1).

In the present paper a novel Ermakov-Ray-Reid type system is introduced and its integrable structure established via a Ermakov invariant relation together with a linked canonical P_{IV} equation.

2 Integrability of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV System

The preceding motivates the introduction here of the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_2} S \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \\ \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_2 &= \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1} T \left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The integrability of this coupled nonlinear system is investigated when there exists $V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_1} S \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_1}, \quad \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1} T \left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2} \right) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_2}$$

in which case, it may be shown, as in the manner described in [61] for the standard Ermakov-Ray-Reid system, that it adopts the form

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_1 = \frac{2}{\Phi_1^3} J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) + \frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^4} J' \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \left[\frac{3}{4}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 + 2z(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + z^2 - \alpha \right] \Phi_2 = -\frac{1}{\Phi_1^3} J' \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \quad (2.1b)$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to the argument Φ_2/Φ_1 in J . This system admits the Ermakov invariant

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} \right) J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

and, on use of the identity

$$(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) \left[\left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2 \right] - \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right)^2 \equiv \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} + \Phi_2 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2 \quad (2.3)$$

it follows that

$$\left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right)^2 - \frac{2\mathcal{I}}{\Sigma} + \frac{2}{\Phi_1^2} J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{4\Sigma} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^2$$

where $\Sigma \equiv \Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2$. Hence

$$2 \left(\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} + \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} \right) + \frac{2\mathcal{I}}{\Sigma^2} \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{2}{\Phi_1^2} J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\Sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \frac{d^2\Sigma}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{4\Sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^3 \quad (2.4)$$

where the system (2.1a,b) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} + \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \frac{d\Sigma}{dz} &= \frac{2}{\Phi_1^3} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} J + \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^4} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} - \frac{1}{\Phi_1^3} \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} \right) J \\ &= -\frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi_1^2} J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

with $\Delta := \frac{3}{4}\Sigma^2 + 2\Sigma + z^2 - \alpha$. Accordingly, (2.4) shows that reduction is obtained to the canonical P_{IV} equation in Σ , namely

$$\frac{d^2\Sigma}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma} \left(\frac{d\Sigma}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\Sigma^3 + 4z\Sigma^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)\Sigma + \frac{\beta}{\Sigma} \quad (2.5)$$

with $\beta = 4\mathcal{I}$.

To determine the original Ermakov variables Φ_1 and Φ_2 corresponding to a known positive solution Σ of P_{IV} (2.5), one returns to the Ermakov invariant relation (2.2). Thus, on introduction of Λ according to

$$\Lambda = \frac{2\Phi_1\Phi_2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2} \quad (2.6)$$

it is observed that

$$\frac{d\Lambda}{dz} = \frac{2(\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2)}{(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2} \left(\Phi_1 \frac{d\Phi_2}{dz} - \frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} \Phi_2 \right)$$

whence (2.2) yields

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^4}{(\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2)^2} \left(\frac{d\Lambda}{dz} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} \right) J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Hence, in terms of the new independent variable z^* where

$$dz^* = \Sigma^{-1} dz \quad (2.8)$$

invariant relation (2.7) shows that

$$\Lambda_{z^*}^2 = 8 \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2} \right)^2 \left[\mathcal{I} - \frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2} J \left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1} \right) \right]$$

where (2.6) gives Φ_2/Φ_1 in terms of Λ according to

$$\Phi_2/\Phi_1 = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \Lambda^2})/\Lambda.$$

Thus,

$$\pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{(1-\Lambda^2)(\Lambda\mathcal{I}-2\mathcal{L}(\Lambda))}} d\Lambda = z^* + \mathcal{C} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) := (\Phi_2/\Phi_1) J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is an arbitrary constant of integration. Corresponding to positive solutions Σ of P_{IV} (2.5) and Λ as determined by (2.9) for specified $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$, the original variables Φ_1, Φ_2 in the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system are given via the relations

$$\Phi_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma \left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-\Lambda^2}\right), \quad \Phi_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1-\Lambda^2}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

The class of Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems will be considered here which corresponds to Λ as determined by the quadrature in (2.9) with

$$\Lambda\mathcal{I} - 2\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) = \frac{a^2(1-\Lambda^2)^m}{\Lambda}. \quad (2.11)$$

In this case, (2.9) yields

$$-\Lambda^2 = \begin{cases} [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(z^* + \mathcal{C})]^{2/(1-m)}, & \text{if } m \neq 1 \\ \exp\{\pm 4\sqrt{2}a(z^* + \mathcal{C})\}, & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where z^* is obtained by integration of the relation (2.8) for a positive solution Σ of P_{IV} . Here, (2.11) shows that

$$J\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{I}}{1 + (\Phi_2/\Phi_1)^2} - \frac{a^2}{4} \left(\frac{\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}\right)^{2m} \frac{\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2}{\Phi_1^2}. \quad (2.13)$$

3 Action of Bäcklund-Type transformations

The diversity of Bäcklund and Schlesinger-type transformations admitted by P_{IV} has been described in the comprehensive work of [4]. Thus, transformations due to Gromak [34, 35] Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [27], Lukashovich [42, 43] and Murata [49] are all detailed therein along with their conjugation and interconnections. In the present context, the concern is to exploit certain such transformations to generate sequences of exact solutions to the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system via the action on appropriate seed solutions. In [4], a multiplicity of combinations of the parameters α and β has been catalogued which correspond to exact solutions of P_{IV} (2.5). These may involve error functions, parabolic cylinder functions or rational functions. The generation of solutions of the Ermakov-Painlevé systems corresponding to the three parameter class of $J(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ as given by (2.13) is illustrated below for elementary rational seeds.

In the above connection, it is seen that P_{IV} (2.5) admits a seed solution

$$\Sigma_0(z) = -2z, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -2, \quad \mathcal{I} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (3.1)$$

where, in the present context the requirement that $\Sigma > 0$ restricts attention to regions with $z < 0$. With this seed solution, (2.8) shows that, up to an additive constant,

$$z^* = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(-z)$$

so that the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.13) admits the class of solutions with

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^2 &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \mp [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]^{1/(1-m)}\right), & \text{if } m \neq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \mp \exp[\mp 4\sqrt{2}a(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]\right), & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases} \\ \Phi_2^2 &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \pm [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1)(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]^{1/(1-m)}\right), & \text{if } m \neq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}z \left(1 \pm \exp[\mp 4\sqrt{2}a(-\frac{1}{2}\ln(-z) + \mathcal{C})]\right), & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and appropriate choices of sign made to ensure positivity requirements.

It is interesting to observe that for both the seed solutions of P_{IV} (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_0(z) &= -2z, & \alpha &= 0, & \beta &= -2 \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_0(z) &= -\frac{2}{3}z, & \alpha &= 0, & \beta &= -\frac{2}{9}\end{aligned}$$

the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system (2.1a,b) reduces to a conventional Ermakov-Ray-Reid system

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_1}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{\Phi_1^2\Phi_2}S\left(\frac{\Phi_2}{\Phi_1}\right), \quad \frac{d^2\Phi_2}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{\Phi_2^2\Phi_1}T\left(\frac{\Phi_1}{\Phi_2}\right).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\omega_0 = \omega(z; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and $\omega_j^\pm = \omega(z; \alpha_j^\pm, \beta_j^\pm)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ be solutions of P_{IV} (1.1) with*

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm) &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(2 - 2\alpha_0 \pm 3\sqrt{-2\beta_0}), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \\ (\alpha_2^\pm, \beta_2^\pm) &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}(2 + 2\alpha_0 \pm 3\sqrt{-2\beta_0}), -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \\ (\alpha_3^\pm, \beta_3^\pm) &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \mp \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{-2\beta_0}, -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \\ (\alpha_4^\pm, \beta_4^\pm) &= \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \mp \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{-2\beta_0}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 - \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_1^\pm : \quad \omega_1^\pm = \frac{\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0}}{2\omega_0} \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2^\pm : \quad \omega_2^\pm = -\frac{\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0}}{2\omega_0} \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3^\pm : \quad \omega_3^\pm = \omega_0 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha_0 \mp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0}{\omega'_0 \pm \sqrt{-2\beta_0} + 2z\omega_0 + \omega_0^2} \quad (3.2c)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_4^\pm : \quad \omega_4^\pm = \omega_0 + \frac{2(1 + \alpha_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0}{\omega'_0 \mp \sqrt{-2\beta_0} - 2z\omega_0 - \omega_0^2} \quad (3.2d)$$

valid when the denominators are non-zero, and where the upper signs or the lower signs are taken throughout each transformation.

Proof. See Gromak [34, 35] and Lukashevich [42, 43]; also [4, 24, 37, 38, 49]. $\square$

A class of Bäcklund transformations for the Painlevé equations is generated by so-called *Schlesinger transformations* of the associated isomonodromy problems. Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [27] (see also [48]), deduced the following Schlesinger transformations $\mathcal{R}_1$ – $\mathcal{R}_4$ for P_{IV}

$$\mathcal{R}_1 : \quad \omega_1(z; \alpha_1, \beta_1) = \frac{(\omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha + 4 - 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - \omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})} \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 : \quad \omega_2(z; \alpha_2, \beta_2) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha - 4 - 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 + \omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})} \quad (3.3b)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 : \quad \omega_3(z; \alpha_3, \beta_3) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha + 4 + 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - \omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})} \quad (3.3c)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4 : \quad \omega_4(z; \alpha_4, \beta_4) = \frac{(\omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2 + (4\alpha - 4 + 2\sqrt{-2\beta_0})\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2(\omega_0 + 2z)^2}{2\omega_0(\omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 + \omega'_0 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})} \quad (3.3d)$$

where $\omega_0 \equiv \omega(z; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ and

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \left(\alpha_0 + 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \quad (3.4a)$$

$$(\alpha_2, \beta_2) = \left(\alpha_0 - 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \quad (3.4b)$$

$$(\alpha_3, \beta_3) = \left(\alpha_0 + 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right) \quad (3.4c)$$

$$(\alpha_4, \beta_4) = \left(\alpha_0 - 1, -\frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{-2\beta_0})^2\right). \quad (3.4d)$$

Fokas, Mugan and Ablowitz [27] also defined the composite transformations $\mathcal{R}_5 = \mathcal{R}_1\mathcal{R}_3$ and $\mathcal{R}_7 = \mathcal{R}_2\mathcal{R}_4$ given by

$$\mathcal{R}_5 : \quad \omega_5(z; \alpha_5, \beta_5) = \frac{(\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0)^2 + 2\beta_0}{2\omega_0 [\omega'_0 - \omega_0^2 - 2z\omega_0 + 2(\alpha_0 + 1)]} \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_7 : \quad \omega_7(z; \alpha_7, \beta_7) = -\frac{(\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0)^2 + 2\beta_0}{2\omega_0 [\omega'_0 + \omega_0^2 + 2z\omega_0 - 2(\alpha_0 - 1)]}, \quad (3.5b)$$

respectively, where

$$(\alpha_5, \beta_5) = (\alpha_0 + 2, \beta_0), \quad (\alpha_7, \beta_7) = (\alpha_0 - 2, \beta_0). \quad (3.5c)$$

We remark that $\mathcal{R}_5$ and $\mathcal{R}_7$ are the transformations $\mathcal{T}_+$ and $\mathcal{T}_-$, respectively, given by Murata [49].

The application of $\mathcal{T}_1^+$ and $\mathcal{T}_2^+$ to the seed solution $\Sigma_0(z)$ given by (3.1) generates, in turn, new positive solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2(z) &= 1/z, & \alpha_1 &= 2, & \beta_1 &= -2, & z &> 0 \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_2(z) &= -1/z, & \alpha_2 &= -2, & \beta_2 &= -2, & z &< 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Insertion of Σ_1 and Σ_2 in (2.10) where $1 - \Lambda^2$ is determined by (2.12) with $z^* = \pm \frac{1}{2}z^2$ delivers associated solutions of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV system (2.1a,b) with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.13).

The repeated action of the Bäcklund transformations $\{\mathcal{T}_j^\pm\}_{j=1}^4$ and other Bäcklund transformations such as the quartet $\{\mathcal{R}_j\}_{j=1}^4$ as constructed in [27] by the isomonodromy deformation method may be applied systematically to generate a multiplicity of exact solutions (2.10) of the Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems (2.1a,b) which are here subject to the requirement $\Sigma > 0$. Thus, in particular, the repeated application of the Bäcklund transformation $\mathcal{R}_3$ (3.3c) to Σ_2 as defined by (3.6) generates the following new solutions of P_{IV}

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3(z) &= \frac{4z}{2z^2 + 1}, & \alpha_3 &= 3, & \beta_3 &= -8 \\ \Sigma_4(z) &= \frac{3(2z^2 + 1)}{z(2z^2 + 3)}, & \alpha_4 &= 4, & \beta_4 &= -18 \\ \Sigma_5(z) &= \frac{8z(2z^2 + 3)}{4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3}, & \alpha_5 &= 5, & \beta_5 &= -32 \\ \Sigma_6(z) &= \frac{5(4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3)}{z(4z^4 + 20z^2 + 15)}, & \alpha_6 &= 6, & \beta_6 &= -50 \end{aligned}$$

as given in the table of rational solutions of P_{IV} set down in [4]. Thus, the class of Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems with $J(\Phi_2/\Phi_1)$ given by (2.13) admits exact solutions Φ_1, Φ_2 determined by the relations (2.10), where

$$\begin{aligned} z_3^* &= \frac{1}{4} \{z^2 + \ln z\} \\ z_4^* &= \frac{1}{6} \{z^2 + \ln(2z^2 + 1)\} \\ z_5^* &= \frac{1}{8} \{z^2 + \ln[z(2z^2 + 3)]\} \\ z_6^* &= \frac{1}{10} \{z^2 + \ln(4z^4 + 12z^2 + 3)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $z > 0$, so that

$$1 - \Lambda^2 = \begin{cases} [\pm 2\sqrt{2}a(m-1) \left(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}\ln z + \mathcal{C}\right)]^{2/(1-m)}, & \text{if } m \neq 1 \\ \exp \left\{ \pm 4\sqrt{2}a \left(\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}\ln z + \mathcal{C}\right) \right\}, & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For the rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$*

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_n(s)} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\{ z^2 + \ln \frac{dh_{n-1}}{dz} \right\}.$$

Proof. The rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ have the form

$$\Sigma_n(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln h_{n-1}(z) \quad (3.7)$$

where $h_{n-1}(z) = (-i)^n H_{n-1}(-iz)$, with $H_m(x)$ the Hermite polynomial. Since $h_{n-1}(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 h_{n-1}}{dz^2} + 2z \frac{dh_{n-1}}{dz} - 2(n-1)h_{n-1} = 0$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\Sigma_n(z)} = \frac{h_{n-1}(z)}{h'_{n-1}(z)} = \frac{z}{n-1} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{h''_{n-1}(z)}{h'_{n-1}(z)}$$

and so the result follows. $\square$

Remark 3.3. The rational solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ given by (3.7) satisfy the Riccati equation

$$\frac{d\Sigma_n}{dz} + \Sigma_n^2 + 2z\Sigma_n - 2(n-1) = 0.$$

4 Bound State Solutions

The preceding describes how seed solutions $\Sigma_0(z)$ of P_{IV} (2.5) can be used to generate associated classes of exact solutions of associated hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems. The procedure is constrained, in general, by the requirement that attention be restricted to regions on which $\Sigma(z)$ is positive. However, in this connection, Bassom *et al.* [6] showed that if α is an odd integer and $\beta = 0$ then exact solutions of P_{IV} (2.5) exist with the property that $\Sigma(z) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In particular, it may be verified that

$$\Sigma_0(z) = \frac{\xi \exp(-z^2)}{\sqrt{\pi} [1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi \operatorname{erfc}(z)]} \quad (4.1)$$

is a solution of P_{IV} (2.5) corresponding to parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Here ξ is a constant and $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ denotes the usual complementary error function: it is evident that for $0 < \xi < 1$, the solution (4.1) of P_{IV} (2.5) is positive for all real z . It is now a routine matter to generate the associated class of exact solutions of the hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV systems. More generally, if we denote the bound state solution of P_{IV} (2.5) with $\alpha = 2n + 1$ and $\beta = 0$ as $\Sigma_n(z)$, then it can be proved that the Bäcklund transformation

$$\Sigma_{n+1}(z) = \frac{[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)]^2}{2\Sigma_n(z)[\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z) + 4(n+1)]} \quad (4.2)$$

which is a special case of $\mathcal{R}_5$ (3.5a), can be applied iteratively to generate an infinite sequence of bound state solutions of P_{IV} (2.5) commencing with the seed solution $\Sigma_0(z)$. If we denote $\psi(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi \operatorname{erfc}(z)$ so that

$$\Sigma_0(z) = \frac{\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} := \Psi(z) \quad (4.3a)$$

then it may be shown that the next two of members of the bound state hierarchy of P_{IV} (2.5) are given by

$$\Sigma_1(z) = -\frac{\Psi(\Psi + 2z)^2}{\Psi^2 + 2z\Psi - 2} \quad (4.3b)$$

$$\Sigma_2(z) = \frac{4\Psi(\Psi^2 + 3z\Psi + 2z^2 - 1)^2}{[\Psi^2 + 2z\Psi - 2][z\Psi^3 + (4z^2 + 3)\Psi^2 + 2z(2z^2 + 3)\Psi - 4]}. \quad (4.3c)$$

Each bound state solution $\Sigma_n(z)$ decays exponentially as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and has n distinct zeros and satisfies

$$\frac{d^2 \Sigma_n}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{2\Sigma_n} \left(\frac{d\Sigma_n}{dz} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\Sigma_n^3 + 4z\Sigma_n^2 + 2(z^2 - 2n - 1)\Sigma_n \quad (4.4)$$

which is P_{IV} (2.5) with parameters $\alpha = 2n + 1$ and $\beta = 0$. These bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ have regions separated by the zeros on which they are positive. Thus, it is not only the fundamental bound state solution $\Sigma_0(z)$ of P_{IV} (2.5) that is non-negative on the whole z -axis, but this property is shared by the higher members of the hierarchy. Plots of $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for various ξ are given in Figure 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. For bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV}

$$\frac{1}{\Sigma_{n+1}(z)} = \frac{1}{\Sigma_n(z)} + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{2}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)} \right] \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{2}{\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n} \right) &= -\frac{2[\Sigma''_n - 2(\Sigma_n + z)\Sigma'_n - 2\Sigma_n]}{(\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n)^2} \\ &= \frac{8(n+1)\Sigma_n}{(\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n)^2} + \frac{2\Sigma_n}{\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n} - \frac{1}{\Sigma_n} \end{aligned}$$

since Σ_n satisfies (4.4). Further from the Bäcklund transformation (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Sigma_{n+1}} &= \frac{2\Sigma_n[\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n + 4(n+1)]}{(\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n)^2} \\ &= \frac{8(n+1)\Sigma_n}{(\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n)^2} + \frac{2\Sigma_n}{\Sigma'_n - \Sigma_n^2 - 2z\Sigma_n} \end{aligned}$$

and so the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. For bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV}

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_{n+1}(s)} = \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_n(s)} + \frac{2}{\Sigma'_n(z) - \Sigma_n^2(z) - 2z\Sigma_n(z)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. The result immediately follows from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. For the bound state solution $\Sigma_0(z)$

$$\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} = \frac{2-\xi}{2\xi} \sqrt{\pi} \int^z \exp(s^2) ds + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. From (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Sigma_0(z)} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2)}{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2) \operatorname{erfc}(z) \\ &= \frac{2-\xi}{2\xi} \sqrt{\pi} \exp(z^2) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-z^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n! 2^{2n} z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$

see [55, equation (7.6.2)]. Hence the result follows. $\square$

Remark 4.4. We remark that

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \exp(-z^2) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-z^2 t^2)}{t^2 + 1} dt$$

see [55, equation (7.7.1)], and

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \pi^{-1/2} \exp(-z^2) U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right)$$

with $U(a, b, z)$ the Kummer function, see [55, equation (7.11.5)]. Also $S_0(z) = 1/\Sigma_0(z)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 S_0}{dz^2} - 2z \frac{dS_0}{dz} - 2S_0 = 0.$$

From (4.3a),(4.3b) and (4.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_1(s)} &= \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} - \frac{1}{\Phi(\Phi + 2z)} \\ \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_2(s)} &= \int^z \frac{ds}{\Sigma_0(s)} - \frac{\Phi^3 + 2z\Phi^2 + 4z}{4\Phi(\Phi^2 + 3z\Phi + 2z^2 - 1)}\end{aligned}$$

The bound state solutions $\Sigma_n(z)$ of P_{IV} can be given in terms of Hankel determinants as described in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. Define $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ to be the Hankel determinant

$$\tau_n(z; \xi) = \det \left[\varphi_{j+k}(z; \xi) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \quad (4.8)$$

with ξ a parameter and where $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ is given by

$$\varphi_m(z; \xi) = (-\tfrac{1}{2}i)^m H_m(-iz) + (-\tfrac{1}{2})^{m+1} \xi \exp(-z^2) \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \{ \operatorname{erfc}(z) \exp(z^2) \} \quad (4.9)$$

with $H_m(z)$ the Hermite polynomial and $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ the complementary error function. Then

$$\Sigma_n(z; \xi) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \frac{\tau_{n+1}(z; \xi)}{\tau_n(z; \xi)} \quad (4.10)$$

satisfies (4.4).

Proof. See Forrester and Witte [29]. □

Remark 4.6. Let $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ be the Hankel determinant given by (4.8), then

$$\sigma_n(z; \xi) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \tau_n(z; \xi) \quad (4.11)$$

satisfies

$$\left(\frac{d^2 \sigma_n}{dz^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(z \frac{d\sigma_n}{dz} - \sigma_n \right)^2 + 4 \left(\frac{d\sigma_n}{dz} \right)^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma_n}{dz} + 2n \right) = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

which is S_{IV} (1.2) with parameters $\vartheta_0 = n$ and $\vartheta_\infty = 0$. The solutions $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.10), and $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.11), are related as follows

$$\Sigma_n(z; \xi) = \sigma_{n+1}(z; \xi) - \sigma_n(z; \xi).$$

Plots of $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for various ξ are given in Figure 4.2. Further $\tau_n(z; \xi)$ given by (4.8) satisfies

$$\frac{\tau_{n+1}(z; \xi) \tau_{n-1}(z; \xi)}{\tau_n^2(z; \xi)} = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln \tau_n(z; \xi) + 2n \quad (4.13)$$

which is equivalent to the Toda equation.

Lemma 4.7. The solutions $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.10), and $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, given by (4.11), are positive and bounded provided that $0 < \xi < 1$.

Remark 4.8. Since the Hermite polynomial $H_m(z)$ has the integral representation

$$H_m(z) = \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (z + it)^m \exp(-t^2) dt$$

and the complementary error function $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ has the integral representation

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^{\infty} \exp(-t^2) dt$$

then it can easily be shown that $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ given by (4.9) has the integral representation

$$\varphi_m(z; \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [1 - \xi \mathcal{H}(t - z)] (t - z)^m \exp(-t^2) dt \quad (4.14)$$

with $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the Heaviside function.

These bound state solutions arise in the theory of:

- (i) orthogonal polynomials with the discontinuous Hermite weight

$$w(x; z, \mu) = \exp(-x^2) \{1 - \mu + 2\mu \mathcal{H}(x - z)\},$$

with $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the Heaviside function and μ a parameter, see [12]; and

- (ii) GUE random matrices which are expressed as Hankel determinants of the function $\varphi_m(z; \xi)$ given by (4.14), see [29].

5 Conclusion

Here, integrable systems of a novel hybrid Ermakov-Painlevé IV kind have been introduced which both admit a Ermakov invariant and are connected to P_{IV} (2.5). In terms of applications, it is noted that particular such nonlinear coupled systems arise out of symmetry reduction of coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger triads [60]. Hybrid integrable Ermakov-Painlevé II systems with genesis in the context of three-ion electrodiffusion and the Nernst-Planck system have been recently developed in [68, 69]. This suggests that a comprehensive investigation be undertaken into novel integrable Ermakov-Painlevé systems which both possess characteristic Ermakov invariants and allow the construction of classes of exact solutions via Bäcklund transformations admitted by the canonical P_{II} - P_{VI} equations.

References

- [1] Amster P and Rogers C 2015 *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35** 3277–3292
- [2] Amster P and Rogers C 2015 *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **48** 71–81
- [3] Athorne C, Rogers C, Ramgulum U and Osbaldestin A 1990 *Phys. Lett. A* **143** 207–212
- [4] Bassom A P, Clarkson P A and Hicks A C 1995 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **95** 1–71
- [5] Bassom A P, Clarkson P A and Hicks A C 1996 *Adv. Differential Equations* **1** 175–198
- [6] Bassom A P, Clarkson P A, Hicks A C and McLeod J B 1992 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **437** 1–24
- [7] Bermúdez D 2012 *SIGMA* **8** 069
- [8] Bermúdez D, Contreras-Astorga A and Fernández D J 2014 *Ann. Physics* **350** 615–634
- [9] Bermúdez D and Fernández D J 2011 *Phys. Lett. A* **375** 2974–2978
- [10] Bermúdez D and Fernández D J 2012 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1420** 47–51
- [11] Chen Y and Feigin M V 2006 *J. Phys. A* **39** 12381–12393
- [12] Chen Y and Pruessner G 2005 *J. Phys. A* **38** L191–L198
- [13] Clarkson P A 2005 *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **178** 111–129
- [14] Clarkson P A 2006 *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Computation and Application (Lect. Notes Math. vol 1883)* ed F Marcellàn and W van Assche (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) pp 331–411
- [15] Clarkson P A 2009 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **123** 37–62
- [16] Clarkson P A and Cosgrove C M 1987 *J. Phys. A* **20** 2003–2024
- [17] Clarkson P A and Jordaan K 2014 *Constr. Approx.* **39** 223–254
- [18] Clarkson P A, Jordaan K and Kelil A 2016 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **136** 288–320
- [19] Conte R (ed) 1999 *The Painlevé Property: One Century Later (CRM Series in Mathematical Physics)* New York: Springer
- [20] Conte R, Rogers C and Schief W K 2007 *J. Phys. A* **40** F1031–F1040
- [21] Dai D and Kuijlaars A B J 2009 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **122** 29–83
- [22] Fernández D J and González J C 2015 *Ann. Physics* **359** 213–229
- [23] Florjańczyk M and Gagnon L 1990 *Phys. Rev. A* **41** 4478–4485
- [24] Fokas A S and Ablowitz M J 1982 *J. Math. Phys.* **23** 2033–2042
- [25] Fokas A S, Its A R and Kitaev A V 1991 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** 313–344
- [26] Fokas A S, Its A R and Kitaev A V 1992 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147** 395–430

- [27] Fokas A S, Mugan U and Ablowitz M J 1988 *Physica* **30D** 247–283
- [28] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2001 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219** 357–398
- [29] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2003 *Nonlinearity* **16** 1919–1944
- [30] Garcia-Ferrero M A and Gómez-Ullate D 2015 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **105** 551–573
- [31] Gómez-Ullate D, Grandati Y and Milson R 2014 *J. Phys. A* **47** 015203
- [32] Gómez-Ullate D, Kasman A, Kuijlaars A B J and Milson R 2016 *J. Approx. Theory* **204** 1–16
- [33] Goncharenko A M, Logvin Y A, Samson A M, Shapovalov P S and Turovets S I 1991 *Phys. Lett. A* **60** 138–142
- [34] Gromak V I 1978 *Diff. Eqns.* **14** 1510–1513
- [35] Gromak V I 1987 *Diff. Eqns.* **23** 506–513
- [36] Gromak V I 1999 *The Painlevé Property. One Century Later* (CRM Series in Mathematical Physics) ed R Conte (New York: Springer) pp 687–734
- [37] Gromak V I, Laine I and Shimomura S 2002 *Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane* (*Studies in Math.* vol **28**, Berlin, New York: de Gruyter)
- [38] Gromak V I and Lukashevich N A 1982 *Differential Equations* **18** 317–326
- [39] Jimbo M and Miwa T 1981 *Physica* **D2** 407–448
- [40] Kanzieper E 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 250201–250204
- [41] Lee J H, Pashaev O K, Rogers C and Schief W K 2007 *J. Plasma Physics* **73** 257–272
- [42] Lukashevich N A 1965 *Diff. Eqns.* **1** 561–564
- [43] Lukashevich N A 1967 *Diff. Eqns.* **3** 395–399
- [44] Marquette I 2009 *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 095202
- [45] Marquette I and Quesne C 2013 *J. Phys. A* **46** 155201
- [46] Marquette I and Quesne C 2016 *J. Math. Phys.* **57** 052101
- [47] Mateo J and Negro J 2008 *J. Phys. A* **41** 045204
- [48] Mugan U and Fokas A S 1992 *J. Math. Phys.* **33** 2031–2045
- [49] Murata Y 1985 *Funkcial Ekvac.* **28** 1–32
- [50] Pashaev O K and Lee J H 2002 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** 1601–1619
- [51] Pashaev O K, Lee J H and Rogers C 2008 *J. Phys. A* **41** 452001–452009
- [52] Okamoto K 1980 *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **56** 264–268
- [53] Okamoto K 1980 *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **56** 367–371
- [54] Okamoto K 1986 *Math. Ann.* **275** 221–255
- [55] Olver F W J, Lozier D W, Boisvert R F and Clark C W (eds) 2010 *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [56] Osipov V Al and Kanzieper E 2010 *Annals of Physics* **325** 2251–2306
- [57] Ray J R 1980 *Phys. Lett. A* **78** 4–6
- [58] Reid J L and Ray J R 1980 *J. Math. Phys.* **21** 1583–1587
- [59] Rogers C 2014 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **133** 214–231
- [60] Rogers C 2014 *J. Nonlinear Math. Physics* **21** 628–642
- [61] Rogers C and An H 2010 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **125** 275–299
- [62] Rogers C, Hoenselaers C and Ray J R 1993 *J. Phys. A* **26** 2625–2633
- [63] Rogers C, Malomed B and An H 2012 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **129** 389–413
- [64] Rogers C, Malomed B, Chow K and An H 2010 *J. Phys. A* **43** 455214
- [65] Rogers C and Schief W K 1996 *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **198** 194–220
- [66] Rogers C and Schief W K 2011 *J. Math. Phys.* **52** 083701
- [67] Rogers C and Schief W K 2011 *Nonlinearity* **24** 3165–3178
- [68] Rogers C and Schief W K 2016 *Meccanica* **51** 2967–2974
- [69] Rogers C and Schief W K 2016 On novel resonant Ermakov-NLS systems: Painlevé reduction, submitted
- [70] Schief W K, An H and Rogers C 2013 *Stud. Appl. Math.* **130** 49–79
- [71] Schief W K, Rogers C and Bassom A P 1996 *J. Phys. A* **29** 903–911
- [72] Tracy C and Widom H 1994 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163** 33–72




Figure 4.1: Plots of $\Sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for $\xi = 0.3$ (black), 0.5 (red), 0.7 (blue), 0.8 (green), 0.9 (purple).




Figure 4.2: Plots of $\sigma_n(z; \xi)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ for $\xi = 0.3$ (black), 0.5 (red), 0.7 (blue), 0.8 (green), 0.9 (purple).